

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,589	10/23/2003	Jui-Tung Hung	3210/27	6747
	7590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
David E. Dougherty			WORRELL JR, LARRY D	
Dennison,Sch 1727 King Str	ultz,Dougherty & MacDo	onald	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, V			3765	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/690,589	HUNG, JUI-TUNG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Danny Worrell	3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) itute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ or No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "well flexibility" in claim 1 is a relative term that renders the claim indefinite.

The term "well flexibility" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Additionally the term "well" is an unclear modifier of the term "flexibility"

Re claim 3, the recitation "preferred" makes the claim indefinite since it is unclear whether the phrase following "preferred" is actually being claimed. The phrase "the neighboring three wales" is indefinite as it lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuda (5706677).

Art Unit: 3765

As seen in figure 3, Matsuda (5706677) teaches the warp knitted fabric as claimed including first threads (6), second threads(4) and third threads (5) extended in a warp wise direction. Widths of the third threads are greater than widths of the second and first threads. Note the stitch portion (section 2) as seen in figure 4 has the first (6) second (4) and third threads (5) knitted with each other and has a width smaller than half a width of the warp knitted fabric. Also as seen in figure 4, the stitched portion is adjacent the first or left side and the second side or right side has a "well flexibility" to be bent laterally to the extent definite. Re claim 2, note that the same wale (W3) has portions of both the stitched portion and the warp knitted fabric. Re claim 3, the width of the stitch portion is greater than the width of the neighboring three wales insofar as definite. Re claim 4, the fabric holds a zipper.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 703/308-0889. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703/305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/690,589

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danny Worrell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

LDW